

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 05/04/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/640,347	08/13/2003	Ralf Blackstone	P-91.1.4 (UTI)(CIP3)	7724
759	90 05/04/2004		EXAM	INER
JACKSON WA	ALKER L.L.P.		ZEC, I	FILIP
<b>Suite 2100</b>				
112 E. Pecan Str	reet		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
San Antonio, T.	X 78205		3744	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)				
		10/640,347 BLACKSTONE, RALF						
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Filip Zec	3744					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports. The MAILING DATE of this communication apports.	pears on the cover she	eet with the correspondence address					
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, in the statutory minimum will apply and will expire SIX (6), cause the application to become.	may a reply be timely filed  of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<del>.</del>						
2a)[	,—	action is non-final.						
3)								
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4) 🖂	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideratio	n.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
_	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.	•						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
اـــا(٥	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requiremen	π.					
<b>Applicat</b>	ion Papers			•				
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10)🖂	The drawing(s) filed on 13 August 2003 and 09	<i>January 2004</i> is/are	: a) $\square$ accepted or b) $oxtimes$ objected to by t	he				
Examine	r.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
44	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)[	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer. Note the atta	ached Office Action of form P10-152.					
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
·	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:							
<ul> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ul>								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* (	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies	s not received.					
			· ·					
Attachmen			vious Cummons (DTO 442)					
<i>'</i> ==	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	view Summary (PTO-413) er No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)								
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>1/09/04</u> . 6) Other:								

Application/Control Number: 10/640,347

Art Unit: 3744

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Drawings**

1. The drawings are objected to because they are not professional and do not satisfy the requirements of the US Patent and Trademark office. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## **Double Patenting**

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 1-20 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-22 of copending Application No. 10/375526. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they essentially claim the same invention, a cooling jacket made out of paper or plastic having walls filled with the pressurized cooled air. Looking at FIG's 12 and 21-22, one notices the same garment similar to the torso vest claimed by the applicant, with the tubes and jets supplying the pressurized air.

Application/Control Number: 10/640,347

Art Unit: 3744

This is a provisional obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting

Page 3

claims have not in fact been patented.

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure.

U.S. Patent 6,519,964 to Bieberich, Mark Thomas.

U.S. Patent 6,354,099 to Bieberich, Mark Thomas.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Filip Zec whose telephone number is (703) 306-3446. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, with the exception of every other

Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Denise Esquivel can be reached on (703) 308-2597. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Filip Zec

will Deen Examiner

Art Unit 3744

Page 4

FZ